Lecture 7: Network Topologies CMSE 822: Parallel Co Prof. Sean M. Couch

## Bisection width (or bandwidth)

- Smallest number of links between two equal partitions of a network



## Diameter of network

- The longest shortest distance between two nodes

Linear array

$d=n-1$

## Group exercise

- What is the diameter of a 3D cube of $n \times n \times n$ processors? What is the bisection width? How does that change if you add wraparound torus connections?


## Group exercise

- What is the diameter of a 3D cube of $n \times n \times n$ processors? What is the bisection width? How does that change if you add wraparound torus connections?
- A cube has $\sqrt[3]{P}$ processors per side, so the corners are $3 \sqrt[3]{P}$ apart. The bisection is $n \times n$ (or $P^{2 / 3}$ ). Adding torus connections, the diameter is $\frac{3}{2} \sqrt[3]{P}$ and the bisection width is $(\sqrt[3]{P}+1)^{2}$.



## 3D torus

Table 4.2. Topological Parameters of Selected Interconnection Networks

| Network name(s) | No. of nodes | Network <br> diameter | Bisection width | Node degree | Local links? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1D mesh (linear array) | $k$ | $k-1$ | 1 | 2 | Yes |
| 1D tours (ring, loop) | $k$ | $k / 2$ | 2 | 2 | Yes |
| 2D mesh | $k^{2}$ | $2 k-2$ | $k$ | 4 | Yes |
| 2D torus ( $k$-ary 2-cube) | $k^{2}$ | $k$ | $2 k$ | 4 | Yes $^{1}$ |
| 3D mesh | $k^{3}$ | $3 k-3$ | $k^{2}$ | 6 | Yes |
| 3D torus ( $k$-ary 3-cube) | $k^{3}$ | $3 k / 2$ | $2 k^{2}$ | 6 | Yes ${ }^{1}$ |
| Pyramid | $\left(4 k^{2}-1\right) / 3$ | $2 \log _{2} k$ | $2 k$ | 9 | No |
| Binary tree | $2^{l}-1$ | $2 l-2$ | 1 | 3 | No |
| 4-ary hypertree | $2^{l}\left(2^{l+1}-1\right)$ | $2 l$ | $2^{l+1}$ | 6 | No |
| Butterfly | $2^{l}(l+1)$ | $2 l$ | $2^{l}$ | 4 | No |
| Hypercube | $2^{l}$ | $l$ | $2^{l-1}$ | $l$ | No |
| Cube-connected cycles | $2^{l} l$ | $2 l$ | $2^{l-1}$ | 3 | No |
| Shuffle-exchange | $2^{l}$ | $2 l-1$ | $\geq 2^{l-1} / 1$ | 4 unidir. | No |
| De Bruijn | $2^{l}$ | $l$ | $2^{l} / l$ | 4 unidir. | No |

${ }^{1}$ With folded layout.


## Group exercise

- Your parallel computer has its processors organized in a 2D grid. The chip manufacturer comes out with a new chip with same clock speed that is dual core instead of single core, and that will fit in the existing sockets. Critique the following argument: 'the amount of work per second that can be done (that does not involve communication) doubles; since the network stays the same, the bisection bandwidth also stays the same, so I can reasonably expect my new machine to become twice as fast.'


## Group exercise

- Your parallel computer has its processors organized in a 2D grid. The chip manufacturer comes out with a new chip with same clock speed that is dual core instead of single core, and that will fit in the existing sockets. Critique the following argument: 'the amount of work per second that can be done (that does not involve communication) doubles; since the network stays the same, the bisection bandwidth also stays the same, so I can reasonably expect my new machine to become twice as fast.'
- The existing bandwdith through each wire will now be shared by two cores, so the per core bandwdith is in fact halved. What's more, your new configuration has a different graph, so you need to recompute the bisection bandwidth.


## Group exercise

```
for (s=2; s<2*n; s*=2)
    for (i=0; i<n-s/2; i+=s)
    x[i] += x[i+s/2]
```

- Consider the parallel summing example and give the execution time of a parallel implementation on a hypercube. Show that the theoretical speedup from the example is attained (up to a factor) for the implementation on a hypercube.


Figure 2.2: Parallelization of a vector reduction


## Dragonfly interconnect

- Messages travel at most one long, global hop
- Reduced cost
- Risk of contention, but smart adaptive routing algorithms give nearly ideal performance

- global link
- local link
$\square$ router
- node group



## Exercise 2.30

Exercise 2.30. With the limited connections of a linear array, you may have to be clever about how to program parallel algorithms. For instance, consider a 'broadcast' operation:
Linear array
 processor 0 has a data item that needs to be sent to every other processor.
We make the following simplifying assumptions:

- a processor can send any number of messages simultaneously,
- but a wire can can carry only one message at a time; however,
- communication between any two processors takes unit time, regardless of the number of processors in between them.
In a fully connected network or a star network you can simply write for $i=1 \ldots N-1$ :
send the message to processor $i$
With the assumption that a processor can send multiple messages, this means that the operation is done in one step.
Now consider a linear array. Show that, even with this unlimited capacity for sending, the above algorithm runs into trouble because of congestion.
Find a better way to organize the send operations. Hint: pretend that your processors are connected as a binary tree. Assume that there are $N=2^{n}-1$ processors. Show that the broadcast can be done in $\log N$ stages, and that processors only need to be able to send a single message simultaneously.

